AN ANALYSIS OF SOUTH DAKOTA'S ESSA PLAN

This dashboard analyzes South Dakota’s plan under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), specifically its commitment to equity and excellence and its compliance with the law. This analysis is not all-encompassing but rather focuses on the indicators most essential for advancing equitable educational opportunities for all students. South Dakota submitted its plan on September 18, 2017, and the U.S. Department of Education approved it on January 12, 2018. Full text is available at https://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/account/stateplan17/sdconsolidatedstateplanfinal2.pdf. View ESSA equity dashboards for other states at www.all4ed.org/essa.

ACCOUNTABILITY

Disaggregation of Student Subgroups
- Uses required subgroups and super-subgroups (“gap” and “nongap” students) to identify schools for support; uses super-subgroup of lowest-performing 25% of students in school ratings

N-Size
- 10 students

School Quality and Student Success (SQSS) Indicator
- Chronic absenteeism for elementary and middle schools; high school completion rate (diploma or equivalency) and college and career readiness (CCR) for high schools

High School Graduation Rate
- Uses 4-year cohort graduation rate exclusively

Weighting of Academic Indicators
- 100% weight for elementary and middle schools; 87.5% weight for high schools

Testing Participation Rates
- No credit for untested students

Inclusion of Student Subgroup Performance
- Subgroups have no independent effect on all school ratings (0–100 “School Performance Index”); ratings for elementary and middle schools include growth of lowest-performing 25% of students

SUPPORT AND INTERVENTION

Definition of “Consistently Underperforming” Used to Identify Schools for Targeted Support
- Definition identifies schools with any student subgroup that underperforms the “all students” group across all indicators based on a 95% confidence interval and using 3 consecutive years of data

High School Graduation Rate Used to Identify Schools for Comprehensive Support
- 4-year cohort graduation rate

NOTE

“Gap” super-subgroup aggregates economically disadvantaged, African American, Hispanic, and Native American students, students with disabilities, and English learners; “nongap” super-subgroup aggregates white, Asian, and Hawaiian/Pacific Islander students and students of 2 or more races

Students can achieve CCR by completing “state-approved remediation” in English or math and meeting 1 “readiness” indicator and 1 “progress” indicator
Academic Achievement
- **Green:** 75% or more of all students proficient on statewide assessments by 2030 or equivalently rigorous goal
- **Yellow:** 60–74.9% of all students proficient by 2030 or 75% or more proficient by 2031–39 or equivalently rigorous goal
- **Red:** Less rigorous goals and/or longer timeline than 2040

Academic Achievement by Student Subgroup
- **Green:** Same long-term goals for each subgroup or similarly ambitious commitment to closing achievement gaps
- **Yellow:** Less ambitious goals but requires higher rates of growth from lower-performing subgroups
- **Red:** Same or similar rates of academic growth for all subgroups

4-Year Cohort High School Graduation Rate
- **Green:** 90% or more of students graduating by 2030
- **Yellow:** 85–89.9% of students graduating by 2030 or 90% or more graduating by 2031–39
- **Red:** Less rigorous goals and/or longer timeline than 2040

Extended-Year Cohort High School Graduation Rate
- **Green:** At least 3 percentage points higher than 4-year cohort rate goal or 1 percentage point higher if 4-year cohort rate goal is at least 90%
- **Yellow:** 1–2 percentage points higher than 4-year cohort rate goal
- **Red:** Goals are the same or state does not set goals for each cohort rate

English Language Proficiency
- **Green:** Accounts for initial age/grade or proficiency level in setting student targets with maximum timeline of no more than 6 years to achieve proficiency
- **Yellow:** Accounts for initial age/grade or proficiency level with maximum timeline of 7 years to achieve proficiency
- **Red:** Does not account for initial age/grade or proficiency level and/or sets maximum timeline of 8 or more years to achieve proficiency

School Quality and Student Success (SQSS) Indicator
- **Green:** Research-based statewide SQSS measures that can be disaggregated by student subgroup
- **Yellow:** Inconclusive research to support SQSS measures or significant SQSS measures are in development but will be statewide and capable of disaggregation by student subgroup
- **Red:** SQSS measures lack research and/or are not statewide or capable of disaggregation by student subgroup

High School Graduation Rate Indicator
- **Green:** Exclusively uses, or gives more weight to, 4-year cohort graduation rate
- **Yellow:** Uses 4- and extended-year cohort graduation rates and weights 4-year rate equally or less than other rates
- **Red:** Does not use 4-year cohort graduation rate or uses another unlawful graduation rate calculation

Weighting of Academic Indicators
- **Green:** 75% or more weight on academic indicators including measures based on state tests, college and career readiness, and chronic absenteeism
- **Yellow:** 50–74% weight on academic indicators
- **Red:** Less than 50% weight on academic indicators

Testing Participation Rates
- **Green:** No credit for untested students or similarly rigorous consequences
- **Yellow:** Less rigorous consequences that have limited implications for accountability
- **Red:** Does not specify consequences for untested students

Inclusion of Student Subgroup Performance in School Ratings
- **Green:** Includes all ESSA subgroups in all school ratings or uses a decision rule to ensure ratings reflect ESSA student subgroups
- **Yellow:** Includes subgroups in all school ratings but does not include all ESSA subgroups or may obscure subgroup performance on school dashboards
- **Red:** Does not include all ESSA subgroups in all school ratings

**ACCOUNTABILITY**

Disaggregation of Student Subgroups
- **Green:** State does not use super-subgroup or uses it only in addition to disaggregated subgroups for identifying schools for targeted support and improvement (TSI)
- **Red:** State uses super-subgroups instead of required subgroups for identifying schools for TSI

N-Size
- **Green:** N-size for accountability of 10 or fewer students
- **Yellow:** N-size for accountability of 11–25 students
- **Red:** N-size for accountability of 26 or more students

**SUPPORT AND INTERVENTION**

Definition of “Consistently Underperforming” Used to Identify Schools for Targeted Support
- **Green:** Definition is meaningfully different from “additional targeted support” (ATS) and triggers identification based on low performance on a subset of indicators
- **Yellow:** Definition is meaningfully different from ATS and triggers identification based on low performance across all indicators
- **Red:** Definition is not meaningfully different from, or is narrower than, ATS or does not comply with ESSA

High School Graduation Rate Used to Identify Schools for Comprehensive Support
- **Green:** Uses 4-year cohort graduation rate exclusively
- **Yellow:** Uses 5-year cohort graduation rate
- **Red:** Uses 6-year (or longer) cohort graduation rate

Note: Some indicators do not apply to some states and do not appear in the analysis included on the front of this document. The Alliance for Excellent Education set the parameters associated with the green, yellow, and red designations.