AN ANALYSIS OF MAINE’S ESSA PLAN

This dashboard analyzes Maine’s plan under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), specifically its commitment to equity and excellence and its compliance with the law. This analysis is not all-encompassing but rather focuses on the indicators most essential for advancing equitable educational opportunities for all students. Maine submitted its plan on May 4, 2017, and the U.S. Department of Education approved it on August 30, 2017. Full text is available at https://www2.ed.gov/admins/lead/account/stateplan17/meconsolidatedstateplan817.pdf. View ESSA equity dashboards for other states at www.all4ed.org/essa.

LONG-TERM GOALS

Academic Achievement
- 75.2% of students proficient in reading and 69.2% of students proficient in math by 2030

Academic Achievement by Student Subgroup
- Different long-term achievement goals for each subgroup but requires higher rates of growth from lower-performing subgroups

4-Year Cohort High School Graduation Rate
- 90% of students graduating by 2030

Extended-Year Cohort High School Graduation Rate
- 5- and 6-year cohort graduation rate goal of 92%

English Language Proficiency
- Accounts for students’ initial grade and proficiency level in setting student goals with maximum of 6 years to attain proficiency

ACCOUNTABILITY

Disaggregation of Student Subgroups
- Disaggregates subgroups by race, ethnicity, income, English language proficiency, and disability status

N-Size
- 10 students

School Quality and Student Success (SQSS) Indicator
- Chronic absenteeism for all grades

High School Graduation Rate
- Maine uses 4-, 5- and 6-year cohort graduation rates but does not specify relative weights assigned to each rate

Weighting of Academic Indicators
- 100% weight for all grades

Testing Participation Rates
- No credit for untested students; requires schools that do not meet the 95% participation requirement to submit an improvement plan

Inclusion of Student Subgroup Performance
- Schools identified for targeted support because of a “consistently underperforming” subgroup receive a lower rating than they would have otherwise.

SUPPORT AND INTERVENTION

Definition of “Consistently Underperforming” Used to Identify Schools for Targeted Support
- Identifies schools with one or more subgroups that have overall performance level in bottom 25% for that subgroup and below state average for “all students”

High School Graduation Rate Used to Identify Schools for Comprehensive Support
- 4-year cohort graduation rate

NOTE

Maine sets same long-term 4-year cohort graduation rate goal for all student subgroups

NOTE

Maine is exploring adding SQSS indicators to measure college and career readiness, science achievement, social emotional learning.
### Academic Achievement
- **Green**: 75% or more of all students proficient on statewide assessments by 2030 or equivalently rigorous goal
- **Yellow**: 60–74.9% of all students proficient by 2030 or 75% or more proficient by 2031–39 or equivalently rigorous goal
- **Red**: Less rigorous goals and/or longer timeline than 2040

### Academic Achievement by Student Subgroup
- **Green**: Same long-term goals for each subgroup or similarly ambitious commitment to closing achievement gaps
- **Yellow**: Less ambitious goals but requires higher rates of growth from lower-performing subgroups
- **Red**: Same or similar rates of academic growth for all subgroups

### 4-Year Cohort High School Graduation Rate
- **Green**: 90% or more of students graduating by 2030
- **Yellow**: 85–89.9% of students graduating by 2030 or 90% or more graduating by 2031–39
- **Red**: Less rigorous goals and/or longer timeline than 2040

### Extended-Year Cohort High School Graduation Rate
- **Green**: At least 3 percentage points higher than 4-year cohort rate goal or 1 percentage point higher if 4-year cohort rate goal is at least 90%
- **Yellow**: 1–2 percentage points higher than 4-year cohort rate goal
- **Red**: Goals are the same or state does not set goals for each cohort rate

### English Language Proficiency
- **Green**: Accounts for initial age/grade or proficiency level in setting student targets with maximum timeline of no more than 6 years to achieve proficiency
- **Yellow**: Accounts for initial age/grade or proficiency level with maximum timeline of 7 years to achieve proficiency
- **Red**: Does not account for initial age/grade or proficiency level and/or sets maximum timeline of 8 or more years to achieve proficiency

### Accountability

#### Disaggregation of Student Subgroups
- **Green**: State does not use super-subgroup or uses it only in addition to disaggregated subgroups for identifying schools for targeted support and improvement (TSI)
- **Red**: State uses super-subgroups instead of required subgroups for identifying schools for TSI

#### N-Size
- **Green**: N-size for accountability of 10 or fewer students
- **Yellow**: N-size for accountability of 11–25 students
- **Red**: N-size for accountability of 26 or more students

### School Quality and Student Success (SQSS) Indicator
- **Green**: Research-based statewide SQSS measures that can be disaggregated by student subgroup
- **Yellow**: Inconclusive research to support SQSS measures or significant SQSS measures in development but will be statewide and capable of disaggregation by student subgroup
- **Red**: SQSS measures lack research and/or are not statewide or capable of disaggregation by student subgroup

### High School Graduation Rate Indicator
- **Green**: Exclusively uses, or gives more weight to, 4-year cohort graduation rate
- **Yellow**: Uses 4- and extended-year cohort graduation rates and weights 4-year rate equally or less than other rates
- **Red**: Does not use 4-year cohort graduation rate or uses another unlawful graduation rate calculation

### Weighting of Academic Indicators
- **Green**: 75% or more weight on academic indicators including measures based on state tests, college and career readiness, and chronic absenteeism
- **Yellow**: 50–74% weight on academic indicators
- **Red**: Less than 50% weight on academic indicators

### Testing Participation Rates
- **Green**: No credit for untested students or similarly rigorous consequences
- **Yellow**: Less rigorous consequences that have limited implications for accountability
- **Red**: Does not specify consequences for untested students

### Inclusion of Student Subgroup Performance in School Ratings
- **Green**: Includes all ESSA subgroups in all school ratings or uses a decision rule to ensure ratings reflect ESSA student subgroups
- **Yellow**: Includes subgroups in all school ratings but does not include all ESSA subgroups or may obscure subgroup performance on school dashboards
- **Red**: Does not include all ESSA subgroups in all school ratings

### Support and Intervention

#### Definition of “Consistently Underperforming” Used to Identify Schools for Targeted Support
- **Green**: Definition is meaningfully different from “additional targeted support” (ATS) and triggers identification based on low performance on a subset of indicators
- **Yellow**: Definition is meaningfully different from ATS and triggers identification based on low performance across all indicators
- **Red**: Definition is not meaningfully different from, or is narrower than, ATS or does not comply with ESSA

#### High School Graduation Rate Used to Identify Schools for Comprehensive Support
- **Green**: Uses 4-year cohort graduation rate exclusively
- **Yellow**: Uses 5-year cohort graduation rate
- **Red**: Uses 6-year (or longer) cohort graduation rate

---

**Note**: Some indicators do not apply to some states and do not appear in the analysis included on the front of this document. The Alliance for Excellent Education set the parameters associated with the green, yellow, and red designations.